

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,716	01/19/2005	Hans Rudolf Gygax	102790-188 (30055 US)	2373	
27389 7590 01/25/2008 NORRIS, MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS 875 THIRD AVE			EXAMINER		
			. MCKANE, ELIZABETH L		
18TH FLOOR NEW YORK, 1		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1.2.11 1.010.5			1797		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/25/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/521,716	GYGAX ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Leigh McKane	1797

	Leigh McKane	1797						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In 								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR \$.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	3							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a Nonday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attachment.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
	:	Leigh McKane Primary Examiner	Care					

Art Unit: 1797

Application/Control Number: 10/521,716
Art Unit: 1797

Page 2

Advisory Action

Applicant argues on page 4 of the Remarks that Nightingale fails to describe the use of 1. channels to permit ingress of carrier gas into the reservoir and egress of fragrance-containing carrier gas from the reservoir." As set forth in the Final Rejection of 16 October 2007, the instant claims require only a cartridge "provided with channels to permit ingress of carrier gas into the reservoir and egress of fragrance-containing carrier gas from the reservoir" (emphasis added). This limitation amounts to no more than the intended use of the device. In other words, the device of Nightingale need only be capable of permitting ingress and egress of a carrier gas into and from the reservoir. As the capillary passages of Nightingale are indeed capable of permitting ingress and egress of a carrier gas, the device of Nightingale fulfills the claim requirements. Contrary to the arguments of Applicant that "to provide a carrier gas simulataneously through one of the capillary zones (using them as ingress) and at the same time allow the vaporizable material to escape through the same capillary as egress simply would not work," the rejection as previously set forth results in using a number of the capillary passages in plug 20 (Figure V) for ingress and a number of the capillary passages for egress. Again, the claims is written in terms of the intended use of the device. One could certainly use the device of Nightingale in this capacity. The use of the open language transition phrase "comprising" permits the addition of any number of elements necessary to provide a carrier gas into the device of Nightingale. Moreover, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. See Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ 2d 1647.

Application/Control Number:

10/521,716 Art Unit: 1797 Page 3

2. With respect to the combination with Chiao, applicant asserts that the "Chiao only teaches an electrostatic method of release." However, as set forth above, the instant claims use a open transitional phrase and there is nothing further in any of the claims to preclude use of the device within an electrostatic scent dispenser. As set forth in the Final Rejection, Chiao already discloses the use of capillary tubing to transmit a fragrance from a reservoir to a point of release. The combination results in replacing the single passage of Chiao with the multiple passages of Nightingale.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh McKane whose telephone number is 571-272-1275. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (5:30 am-2:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/521,716 Art Unit: 1797 Page 4

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leigh McKane Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1797

elm 23 January 2008